

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,258	01/26/2004		Hiromitsu Takeda	. 040025	7423
23850	7590	09/11/2006		EXAM	IINER
ARMSTRO	NG, KR	ATZ, QUINTOS, I	VIJAYAKUMAR, KALLAMBELLA M		
1725 K STR	EET, NW		•		
SUITE 1000	)		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON DC	20006		1751	

DATE MAILED: 09/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Astion Comme	10/763,258	TAKEDA, HIROMITSU
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kallambella Vijayakumar	1751
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	January 2004.	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ TI	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a limited copies.</li> </ul>	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		fail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Motice of Infor 6) Other:	mal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/763,258

Art Unit: 1751

Page 2

#### **Detailed Action**

- The preliminary amendment filed 01/26/2004 has been entered. Claims 1-15 are currently pending with the application.
- The examiner has considered the IDS filed 01/26/2004.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The terms "silazane type" and "chlorosilane type" in claim 1 are relative terms which render the claim indefinite. The terms "silazane type" and "chlorosilane type" are not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The examiner construes them to be silazanes and chlorosilanes respectively for the purposes of the examination. The applicants are advised to amend the claim by removing the phrase "type" in the claim to overcome this rejection.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section

351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 3

1. Claims 1-10 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Adachi et al (US 6,060,154).

The prior art teaches a coating composition comprising LaB6 particles with a particle size less than 100 nm, silane coupling agents, surface active agents and an alkoxide of Si or its partial hydrolyzate <surface treatment agent> and additional polymer in a solvent as binder that meets the limitation of claims 1, 3-4, 7 and 8 (Abstract; C-2, Ln 34-36, 59-67; C-3, Ln 31-50; C-4, Ln 10-15; C-5, Ln 40-46; C-7, Ex-11). With regard to the process limitations in claims 2, prior art composition of the coating liquid and the film over the substrate are identical to that by the applicants and the examiner asserts that hexaboride particles in them will be identical to those produced by the instant claimed process steps. The amount of the alkoxide was 40 wt% or less based on the oxide content (silica) that meets the ratio limitation in claim-5 (C-4, Ln 10-15). With regard to claims 6, 9-10 and 12-14, the prior art teaches forming a film over a glass substrate such as a window pane of the glass from the coating composition (Col-5, Ln 1-5; 40-45). All the limitations of the instant claims are met.

The reference is anticipatory.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Art Unit: 1751

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adachi et al (US 6,060,154).

The disclosure on the composition of the coating solution and a coated film over a glass substrate by Adachi et al as set forth in rejection-1 under 35 USC 102(b) is herein incorporated.

The prior art teaches making films with visible transmittance and IR/UV cut-off properties formed over a glass substrate with a thickness of 3 mm by spin coating of the coating liquid/dispersion/ink, but it is silent about the thickness of the film.

However, the film thickness of 0.1 micron or more over the substrate and an article containing the film would be obvious over a particle size of about 100 nm (0.1 micron) in the coating liquid/dispersion.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kallambella Vijayakumar whose telephone number is 571-272-1324. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30-6.00 Mon-Thu, 8.30-5.00 Alt Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas McGinty can be reached on 571-272-1029. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/763,258

Art Unit: 1751

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KMV

August 30, 2006.

DOUGLAS MCGINTY

PATENT EXAMINER

1751